PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTHORITY			
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY LTD		PCT	
FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002		NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION		
į			(PCT Rule 44.1)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		Date of mailin (day/month/ye		
489/04977		FOR FURT	HER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below	
International application No. PCT/IL05/01318		International filing date (day/month/year) 07 December 2005 (07.12.2005)		
Applicant TYLERTON INTERNATIONAL INC		(uay/month/yet	ar) 07 December 2005 (07.12.2005)	
have been established and ar	e dansmitted herewill.	report and the w	vritten opinion of the International Searching Authorit	
Filing of amendments and The applicant is entitled, if h	statement under Article 19: e so wishes, to amend the claim	ns of the internation	onal application (see Rule 46):	
When? The time limit f search report.	or filing such amendments is no	ormally two mont	ths from the date of transmittal of the international	
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70.				
	ctions, see the notes on the acc			
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.				
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:				
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.				
no decision has been ma	de yet on the protest; the applic	ant will be notifie	o the designated Offices. ed as soon as a decision is made.	
4. Reminders				
priority claim, must reach the Internat technical preparations for internationa	tional Bureau as provided in Real publication.	a notice of withdules 90 bis.1 and 9	application will be published by the International drawal of the international application, or of the 00bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the	
preliminary examination report has be before the expiration of 30 months from	een or is to be established. The m the priority date.	se comments wo	of the International Searching Authority to the to all designated Offices unless an international ould also be made available to the public but not	
(in some Offices even later); otherwis entry into the national phase before the	e, the applicant must, within 2 ose designated Offices.	0 months from t	Offices, a demand for international preliminary nal phase until 30 months from the priority date the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for	
In respect of other designated Offices, See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 at Volume II, National Chapters and the V	for defails about the applica	later) will apply able time limits, (even if no demand is filed within 19 months. Office by Office, see the <i>PCT Applicant's Guide</i> ,	
ame and mailing address of the ISA/ US	VII O Internet site.			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	4977	Authorized For Michael B	~ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 csimile No. (571) 273-3201	RECEIV	When I want to be a second of the second of	No. 571-272-4972	
n PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)	Decketed ByE		(See notes on accompanying sheet)	
	19 SEP 200	ır		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 489/04977	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 b	nelow
International application No. PCT/IL05/01318	International filing date (day/ma) 07 December 2005 (07.12.2005	nth/year) (Earliest) Priority	Date (day/month/year)
Applicant TYLERTON INTERNATIONAL INC.			
1. Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the i the international a a translation of the of a translation fur b. With regard to any nucleotid 2. Certain claims were found to 3. Unity of invention is lacking With regard to the title, the text is approved as submit	f a total ofsheets. by a copy of each prior art documenternational search was carried or pplication in the language in white international application into mished for the purposes of internate and/or amino acid sequence dispersional searchable (See Box No. II) (See Box No. III)	Bureau. ment cited in this report. at on the basis of: the it was filed. ational search (Rules 12.3(a) and sclosed in the international appli	which is the language 23.1(b))
	ted by the applicant. according to Rule 38.2(b), by this he date of mailing of this internat:		
6. With regard to the drawings , a. the figure of the drawings to be pu as suggested by the ap		e No. <u>2B</u>	
as selected by this Au	thority, because the applicant fail	ed to suggest a figure.	
	thority, because this figure better	characterizes the invention.	
b none of the figures is to be pul	blished with the abstract.		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

	ATION OF SUBJECT MATERIAL		PCT/IL05/01318
IPC: A611	ATION OF SUBJECT MATTER H 1/00(2006.01)	al.	
USPC: 601/5	5 tional Patent Classification (IPC) or to be	oth national classification and	IPC
B. FIELDS SEAR	RCHED		
	* 		
U.S.: 601/1, 5; 3	tion searched (classification system follows 18/568, I	wed by classification symbols))
Documentation search	ed other than minimum documentation to	the extent that such documer	nts are included in the fields searched
Electronic data base co	onsulted during the international search (name of data base and, where	practicable, search terms used)
C. DOCUMENTS	CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category * Ci	itation of document, with indication, whe	re appropriate, of the relevant	Pageness P. J.
X US, 6,24	16,200 B1 (BLUMENKRANZ et al.) 12 J	June 2001, See the entire docu	
			Iment 1-59
·			
Further documents	are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent famil	y annex
Special categories	s of cited documents:	See patent famil	ished after the international Cl
Special categories	are listed in the continuation of Box C. s of cited documents: neral state of the art which is not considered to be of	"T" later document publi date and not in confl	ly annex. ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the underlying the invention
Special categories document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten	s of cited documents: neral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date	iater document publidate and not in confi principle or theory u	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention
Special categories document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten document which may throu	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date	iater document publidate and not in confi principle or theory u	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention ar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be
Special categories document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten document which may throw establish the publication da specified)	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date we doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ate of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y" later document publicate and not in confidence or theory under the document of particular when the document of particular document of	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention iar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be cannot be considered to involve an inventive step is taken alone
Special categories document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten document which may throw establish the publication da specified) document referring to an or	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date w doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ate of another citation or other special reason (as ral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"T" later document publidate and not in confiprinciple or theory u "X" document of particul considered novel or when the document if "Y" document of particul considered to involve with one or more other.	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention lar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be cannot be considered to involve an inventive step is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be an inventive step when the document is combinered.
document defining the gen particular relevance dearlier application or patent document which may throw establish the publication dates specified) document referring to an or document published prior to priority date claimed	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of int published on or after the international filing date we doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ate of another citation or other special reason (as ral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means o the international filing date but later than the	"Y" later document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory under the document of particular considered novel or when the document of particular considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person s	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention lar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be cannot be considered to involve an inventive step is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be an inventive step when the document is combinered.
document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten document which may throw establish the publication da specified) document referring to an or document published prior to priority date claimed e of the actual completic	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date w doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ate of another citation or other special reason (as ral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"Y" later document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory under the document of particular considered novel or when the document of particular considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person such document member of	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention of invention cannot be cannot be considered to involve an inventive step is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be as an inventive step when the document is combined as an inventive step when the document is combined er such documents, such combination being killed in the art
document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten document which may throw establish the publication daspecified) document referring to an or document published prior to priority date claimed te of the actual completion of the publication date claimed	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date we doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ate of another citation or other special reason (as ral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means to the international filing date but later than the son of the international search	iater document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory u "X" document of particulic considered novel or when the document if "Y" document of particulic considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person s "&" document member of	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention lar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be cannot be considered to involve an inventive step is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be an inventive step when the document is combined ar such documents, such combination being killed in the art. The same patent family
document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten document which may throw establish the publication daspecified) document referring to an or document published prior to priority date claimed to of the actual completicular publication daspecified actual completicular desperadore de of the actual completicular desperadore de de desperadore de desperadore de desperadore de desperadore de de desperadore de de desperadore de desperadore de desperadore de desperadore de	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date we doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ate of another citation or other special reason (as ral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means to the international filing date but later than the ion of the international search of the ISA/US	iater document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory u "X" document of particulic considered novel or when the document i document of particulic considered to involve with one or more oth obvious to a person s "&" document member of Date of mailing of the interior Authorized officer	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention of invention cannot be cannot be considered to involve an inventive step is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be as an inventive step when the document is combined as an inventive step when the document is combined er such documents, such combination being killed in the art
document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten document which may throw establish the publication daspecified) document referring to an or document published prior to priority date claimed te of the actual completion of the actual completi	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date we doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ate of another citation or other special reason (as ral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means to the international filing date but later than the ion of the international search of the ISA/US	iater document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory u "X" document of particulic considered novel or when the document if "Y" document of particulic considered to involve with one or more other obvious to a person s "&" document member of	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention lar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be cannot be considered to involve an inventive step is taken alone ar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be an inventive step when the document is combined ar such documents, such combination being killed in the art. The same patent family
document defining the gen particular relevance carlier application or paten document which may throw establish the publication daspecified) document referring to an or document published prior to priority date claimed to of the actual completicular publication daspecified actual completicular desperadore de of the actual completicular desperadore de de desperadore de desperadore de desperadore de desperadore de de desperadore de de desperadore de desperadore de desperadore de desperadore de	s of cited documents: meral state of the art which is not considered to be of nt published on or after the international filing date w doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ate of another citation or other special reason (as ral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means to the international filing date but later than the son of the international search of the ISA/US Patents a 22313-1450	iater document publicate and not in confiprinciple or theory u "X" document of particulic considered novel or when the document i document of particulic considered to involve with one or more oth obvious to a person s "&" document member of Date of mailing of the interior Authorized officer	ished after the international filing date or priority lict with the application but cited to understand the inderlying the invention. Ital relevance: the claimed invention cannot be cannot be considered to involve an inventive step is taken alone. ar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be an inventive step when the document is combined as an inventive step when the document is combined er such documents, such combination being killed in the art. The same patent family mational search report 0.6 SEP 2006

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL05/01318

Box IV TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of Item 5 of the first sheet)				
NEW ABSTRACT: A wellness apparatus (102), including at least one support (104), for a body portion of a user of the apparatus, at least one robotic actuator (106),adapted to move the body portion; and a controller (120), configured to control the actuator in accordance with needs for physical exercise, the controller is also adapted for assisting the user with primarily non-physical exercise activity.				

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING A		EXAIION IN	EAT I
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE	
		INTERNAT	IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	0 6 SEP 2006
Applicant's or agent's file reference	-	FOR FURTHER	RACTION
489/04977 International application No.			See paragraph 2 below
	International filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/IL05/01318 International Patent Classification (II	07 December 2005 (07.1	2.2005)	04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)
IPC: A61H 1/00(2006.01) USPC: 601/5 Applicant TYLERTON INTERNATIONAL IN			
TABLE OF INTERNATIONAL IN	C		
1. This opinion contains indications	relating to the following items	s:	
Box No. I Basis of	the opinion		
Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
1 i			
Box No. V Reasone	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
i I	locuments cited	supporting sach si	acmen
	The first of the first first around application		
	The state of the s	и аррисанон	
2. FURTHER ACTION			
international Telliminary Examin	ong Aumority ("IPEA") exce e the IPEA and the chosen IP	ept that this does EA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an e International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) red.
of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the	ere appropriate, with amendme e expiration of 22 months from	onts before the eve	EA, the applicant is invited to submit to the biration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.
For further options, see Form PCT/	/ISA/220.		
3. For further details, see notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.		
ame and mailing address of the ISA/I	JS Date of completion	n of this opinion	Authorized officer
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	18 July 2006 (18.0		Michael Brown ()
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			V. Lily
csimile No. (571) 273-3201			Telephone No. 571-272-4972 σ^{-}

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL05/01318

BOX 1	No. 1 Basis of this opinion
 	n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
i. 🔲	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
l. Additio	onal comments:
PCT/IS	SA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL05/01318

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES	
	Claims <u>1-59</u>	NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES	
	Claims 1-59	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-59	YES	
	Claims NONE	NO	
2. Citations and explanations:			
Claims 1-59 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2):	as being anticipated by Blumenkranz et al.		
Claims 1-59 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.		e the subject matter claimed can	

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims I to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: 'Claims I to 15 replaced by amended claims I to II.'
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding
 - "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.